Introduction to Machine Learning

Linear Regression

Varun Chandola

Computer Science & Engineering State University of New York at Buffalo Buffalo, NY, USA chandola@buffalo.edu



University at Buffalo Department of Computer Science ool of Engreening and Applied Sciences

< 4 →

4 🗆 🕨

Basics

Linear Regression

Problem Formulation Learning Parameters Machine Learning as Optimization Convex Optimization Matrix Calculus Basics Evaluating Models Gradient Descent Issues with Gradient Descent Stochastic Gradient Descent Data - scalar (x), vector (x), Matrix (X)

Scalars

- Numeric $(x \in \mathbb{R})$
- Categorical (e.g., $x \in \{0, 1\}$)
- Constants will be denoted as D, M, etc.

Vector

- Length of a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{D}$
- Vector *dot* product
 (x · y)
- Norm of a vector (|x|, ||x||, ||x||_p)

Matrix

- Size of a matrix $(\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N})$
- ► Transpose of a matrix (X^T)
- Matrix product (XY))

A vector is a special matrix with only one column

$$\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{y}\equiv\mathbf{x}^{\top}\mathbf{y}$$

- There is one scalar target variable y
- ▶ There is one vector **input** variable *x*
- Inductive bias:

$$y = \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}$$

Linear Regression Learning Task

Learn **w** given training examples, $\langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$.

Fitting a straight line to d dimensional data

$$y = \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}$$

$$y = \mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x} = w_1x_1 + w_2x_2 + \ldots + w_dx_d$$

- Will pass through origin
- Add intercept

$$y = w_0 + w_1 x_1 + w_2 x_2 + \ldots + w_d x_d$$

Equivalent to adding another column in X of 1s.

Chandola@UB

Explicit Bias

$$\mathbf{x} \equiv \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d\}$$
$$\mathbf{w} \equiv \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_d\}$$
$$y = w_0 + \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}$$

Implicit Bias

$$\mathbf{x} \equiv \{1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d\}$$
$$\mathbf{w} \equiv \{w_0, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_d\}$$
$$y = \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}$$

Chandola@UB

Learning Parameters - Least Squares Approach

Minimize squared loss

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}_i)^2$$

or,

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \mathbf{w})^\top (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \mathbf{w})$$

- Make prediction $(\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x}_i)$ as close to the target (y_i) as possible
- Least squares estimate

$$\widehat{\mathbf{w}} = (\mathbf{X}^{ op} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{ op} \mathbf{y}$$

We will derive this expression in class.

- Learning is optimization
- Faster optimization methods for faster learning
- ▶ Let $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and $f_0(\mathbf{w}), f_1(\mathbf{w}), \dots, f_m(\mathbf{w})$ be real-valued functions.
- Standard optimization formulation is:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\mathbf{w}}{\text{minimize}} & f_0(\mathbf{w}) \\ \text{subject to} & f_i(\mathbf{w}) \leq 0, \ i = 1, \dots, m. \end{array}$

¹Adapted from http://ttic.uchicago.edu/~gregory/courses/ml2012/ lectures/tutorial_optimization.pdf. Also see, http://www.stanford.edu/~boyd/cvxbook/ and http://scipy-lectures.github.io/advanced/mathematical_optimization/. Chandola@UB CSE 474 8/21

Methods for general optimization problems

- Simulated annealing, genetic algorithms
- Exploiting structure in the optimization problem
 - Convexity, Lipschitz continuity, smoothness



Convex Functions



Optimality Criterion

 $\begin{array}{ll} \underset{\mathbf{w}}{\text{minimize}} & f_0(\mathbf{w}) \\ \text{subject to} & f_i(\mathbf{w}) \leq 0, \ i = 1, \dots, m. \end{array}$

where all $f_i(\mathbf{w})$ are convex functions.

- ▶ \mathbf{w}_0 is feasible if $\mathbf{w}_0 \in Dom \ f_0$ and all constraints are satisfied
- ► A feasible w^{*} is optimal if f₀(w^{*}) ≤ f₀(w) for all w satisfying the constraints

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{a}^{\top} \mathbf{b}}{\partial \mathbf{a}} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{b}^{\top} \mathbf{a}}{\partial \mathbf{a}} = \mathbf{b}$$
$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{a}^{\top} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{a}}{\partial \mathbf{a}} = 2\mathbf{M} \mathbf{a}$$

where \mathbf{M} is a symmetric matrix.

- How do we know that a model is good?
- What is a good evaluation/performance metric?

Root Mean Squared Error

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (y^i - \hat{y}^i)^2}$$

where \hat{y}_i is the prediction for the i^{th} instance.

- What data to evaluate this on?
 - Training data?
 - Test data (generalization error)





1. Set derivative to 0

$$\nabla J(\mathbf{w}) = 0$$

2. Check second derivative for minima or maxima or saddle point

| < □ | • | ð | • • | 2 | • | €Ē | • | - E | $\mathcal{O} \land \mathcal{O}$ |
|---------|---|----|------|---|---|----|---|-----|---------------------------------|
| CSE 474 | | 15 | / 21 | | | | | | |

Finding Extremes of a Multiple Variable Function - Gradient Descent

- 1. Start from any point in variable space
- 2. Move along the direction of the steepest descent (or ascent)
 - By how much?
 - A learning rate (η)
 - What is the direction of steepest descent?
 - Gradient of J at w

Training Rule for Gradient Descent

$$\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w} - \eta \nabla J(\mathbf{w})$$

For each weight component:

$$w_j = w_j - \eta \frac{\partial J}{\partial w_j}$$

Chandola@UB

- Error surface contains only one global minimum
- Algorithm will converge
 - Examples need not be linearly separable
- ▶ η should be *small enough*
- lmpact of too large η ?
- Too small η ?

Slow convergence

Stuck in local minima

Update weights after every training example.

For sufficiently small η , closely approximates Gradient Descent.

| Gradient Descent | Stochastic Gradient Descent |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Weights updated after summing er- | Weights updated after examining |
| ror over all examples | each example |
| More computations per weight up- | Significantly lesser computations |
| date step | |
| Risk of local minima | Avoids local minima |

Minimize the squared loss using Gradient Descent

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = rac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \mathbf{w}^{ op} \mathbf{x}_i)^2$$

► Why?

| < □ > | <⊡ ► < | 문 🕨 🗶 문 | ヨ のみの |
|---------|---------|---------|---------------------------|
| CSE 474 | 20 / 21 | | |



Y. LeCun, B. Boser, J. S. Denker, D. Henderson, R. E. Howard, W. Hubbard, and L. D. Jackel. Backpropagation applied to handwritten zip code recognition. Neural Comput., 1(4):541-551, Dec. 1989.

| | - L P - F | | - | 2.40 |
|-------|------------------|---|---|------|
| F 474 | 21 / 2 | 1 | | |

CS